

Appendix 4

Part Three: Knightsbridge Evidence Base – proposed amendments

Entry	Suggested amendment
9	<p>Proposed changes relating to KBR3 i.e. remove references to street lighting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend para 1.17: Details such as the use of wood for plaques and other signage as opposed to plastic, and the retention of cornicing and pilasters, and the installation of subtle white lighting that highlights the character of the property and enhances the local setting, contribute to this essence of Knightsbridge. Delete photo and caption: Example of original lamp feature on boundary wall. Include an additional plan (Figure G in Appendix 1 – Forum’s comments on Regulation 16 representations) showing the location of street furniture that has been listed by Historic England, such as street lighting columns and wall mounted street lighting units has been published by WCC.
10	<p>Relating to KB4</p> <p>To ensure consistency, the following amendments should be made to the Part 3 document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend para 1.23 with subsequent photos to follow para 1.17. Amend para 1.19. <i>“Many stakeholders were keen to tackle those parts of the public realm that were either damaged or missing with a particular focus on broken paving and heritage street lights lamps and tattiness.”</i> Amend para 1.20. <i>“The general quality of pavements and streets in the Area is poor and ranges from broken paving stones (e.g. caused by large construction vehicles) to redundant and dirty telephone boxes and poorly maintained or broken street signs, heritage street lights ing and street furniture...”</i> Amend photo caption: <i>“Examples of missing heritage street lights lamps and poor quality electrical cabinets”</i> Amend para 1.23: <i>“Residents have expressed a preference for the round-shaped lamp light fittings along Local Roads, and square-shaped street lamp light fittings around Local Green Spaces. It is important that lighting from street lamps is subtle and in character with the surrounding area. It is also important to take into account the height of new street lights lamps to ensure, for instance, that they do not encroach on upper floor windows in smaller Local Roads.”</i> Amend photo caption: <i>Example of a round-shaped heritage street lamp light</i> Amend photo caption: <i>Example of a square-shaped heritage street lamp light</i> Amend photo caption: <i>Example of how the height of a new street light lamp can impact on upper floor windows</i>
11	<p>Relating to KBR5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend paragraph 1.32 of the Part Three Evidence Base document to read: <i>“In this respect, # the intact streetscape of elegant late Georgian and early Victorian properties captures the high quality residential ‘essence’...”</i>

Entry	Suggested amendment
19	<p>Relating to KBR14</p> <p>Para 3.22 of the Part 3 document should be amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“There were strong feelings locally about the Hyde Park Barracks land with the majority of people feeling that either the site should either remain as it is or be returned to its original Metropolitan Open Land status. FailingGiven that this would be in conflict with the strategic allocation for the site, then it is important that development is appropriate and reflects the wider context. In particular, the height, bulk and footprint of any redevelopment should not be increased or out of keeping with the surrounding buildings...</i>” • The LUC report adopted by the Forum assessed the visual amenity and character of the MOL within the Neighbourhood Area by appraising a series of views. This appraisal identified issues and opportunities in respect of each view which are important where development may have an impact on the character of the area in respect of the particular view in question. Following representations made by Westminster City Council during the statutory consultation stages of the Plan, Policy KBR14 identifies the relevant important views from the LUC report as a footnote to the policy in addition to mentioning them in the reasoned justification for KBR13 and KBR14.
79	<p>Relating to KBR32</p> <p>The following amendments should be made to the Part Three document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend Para 7.26: <i>“Development should provide the facilities to enable the residents, workers and visitors to the area to use electric motor vehicles by installing charging points. Any new charging facilities should provide parking spaces for residents and ‘car-club’ spaces with future-proofed fourtwo-hour or faster electric vehicle charging points (or wireless charging facilities)...”</i> • Amend para 7.30: <i>“...unsustainable adding to congestion and pollution. FourTwo hour or faster charging points are needed for car club spaces to provide a zero tailpipe emission alternative to private car use.”</i>
Figures	Part 3, Figure 15 should be amended to read: <i>“Figure 15 Vehicle Cycling desire lines’</i>
Figures	<p>Proposed amendments to Figure 9 in Part 3 (also reflected in Figure 2b in Part 1) as follows:</p> <p><u>Figure 9 Land use map:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number 1 (the Hyde Park Barracks) has been amended to be classified as “Sui generis”; and • Number 3 (2 Montpelier Street) has been amended to be classified as A1. <p>(replacement map shown beneath table)</p>
Figures	<p>Proposed amendment to Figure 13 in Part 3 as follows:</p> <p><u>Figure 13 Local Roads map:</u></p> <p>Imperial College Road has been declassified as a “Local Road” as it is a private road. Map will also be amended to reflect more defined coloration.</p> <p>(replacement map shown beneath table)</p>

Part 3, Figure 9 – Substitute map



Part 3, Figure 13 – Substitute map

